

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 039942

64

ORIGIN IO-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /011 R

DRAFTED BY IO/UN/BAPS:CPJUNK:MFW

APPROVED BY IO/SEC - RVHENNES

IO/LABW - SHENDSCH (DRAFT)

IO/TRC - SFRIEDLAND (DRAFT)

IO/SCT - JTREVITHICK (DRAFT)

----- 004166

P 212221Z FEB 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION GENEVA PRIORITY

UNCLAS STATE 039942

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: AORG, UN, ITU, WMO, ABLD, ILO, AFIN

SUBJECT: BUILDING COSTS: UN AND SELECTED SPECIALIZED

AGENCIES

REF: GENEVA 00874

PLEASE CLARIFY THE FOLLOWING:

1. ILO - LINE 5.

(A) WHY IS COLUMN 4, TOTAL COST (EST.) (DOLS. 865,894),
LESS THAN COLUMN 5, AMOUNT ASSESSED TO MEMBERS
(DOLS. 938,564)? USUALLY SHOULD BE SAME AMOUNTS.

(B) DOES THE CHANGE IN COLUMN 4, TOTAL COST, CAUSE ANY
CHANGES IN COLUMN 7, BUDGET AMOUNT FOR CY 1975?

(C) RE COLUMN 9, WHAT IS THE SQUARE FOOTAGE?

2. ILO - LINE 7 (NEW BUILDING).

(A) DOES DOLS 33,222,222 CITED YOUR REFTTEL REPLACE AMOUNT
IN COLUMN 4 OR COLUMN 5?

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 039942

(B) BASED ON (A) ABOVE, IS FOOTNOTE J REPEAT J STILL

VALID? ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AMOUNTS COLUMNS 4 AND 5 SHOULD BE EXPLAINED.

(C) TOTAL OF AMOUNTS IN COLUMN 12 SHOULD EQUAL AMOUNT IN COLUMN 4, WITH AMOUNT OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN COLUMNS 4 AND 5 IDENTIFIED IN COLUMN 12.

(D) RE COLUMN 12, METHOD OF FINANCING, DOLS 4,166,667 FOR SALE OF OLD BUILDINGS. THIS IS APPARENTLY THE SWISS FRANCS 18,000,000 CITED DEPARTMENTS 19487, AT SWFRS. 4.32. WHAT ABOUT THE PROCEEDS OF SALE OF PETIT-SACCONEX ANNEX CITED SAME REFTTEL, AS AMENDED BY YOUR0580? SHOULD DOLS. 1,300,926 (SF 5,620,000 AT SF. 4.32) BE ADDED TO THE DOLS. 4,166,667?

(E) DO NOT UNDERSTAND YOUR QUOTE TOTAL - DOLS. 36,538,637 END QUOTE. OF WHAT IS IT THE TOTAL?

(F) COLUMNS 7 AND 8, TOTAL PAYMENTS INCLUDED IN CY 1975 BUDGET AND U.S. SHARE THEREOF, PRESENTLY SHOW ZERO. YET, IN YOUR EXPLANATION OF FINANCING, COLUMN 12, YOU STATE QUOTE PARTIAL DEFERRMENT OF 1975 AUTHORIZATION PAYMENT END QUOTE, WHICH SUGGESTS THAT FUNDS ARE INCLUDED IN THE CY 1975 BUDGET. PLEASE CLARIFY.

3. ITU EXTENSION.

(A) COLUMN 4, TOTAL COST (EST.) SHOULD BE THE SAME AS COLUMN 12, METHOD OF FINANCING. DOLS. 8,893,280 IS APPARENTLY LOAN AMOUNT SF 22,500,000 CITED PARA 4 YOUR 6354, NOVEMBER 30, 1973, AT SF. 2.53. DOLS. 1,826,103 APPROXIMATES SF. 4,622,000 CITED SAME PARA, ALSO AT SF. 2.53. (PLEASE NOTE SAME PARA STATES SF. 4,622,000 IS TOTAL OF COST OF EXTENSION (SF 1,625,000), INSTALLATION AND REMOVAL (SF 3,111,000), AND INTEREST ON LOAN (SF 1,506,000), WHICH THREE FIGURES ACTUALLY TOTAL SF 6,243,000). COLUMNS 4 AND 12 SHOULD BE THE TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS; INSTALLATION, REMOVAL, AND INTEREST COSTS SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED. IS THE CITED COST OF EXTENSION, SF 1,625,000: (A) A CORRECT FIGURE, UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 039942

AND (B) PART OF THE CONSTRUCTION COST? IF PART OF CONSTRUCTION COSTS, THE CORRECT AMOUNT SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN COLUMN 4. PLEASE CLARIFY IF WE HAVE MIS-INTERPRETED, OR CORRECT, AS APPLICABLE.

(B) COLUMN 9, WHAT IS SQUARE FOOTAGE?

4. WMO.

(A) LINE 1 - IS DOLS. 277,960, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
COLUMN 4 AND COLUMN 5, THE CREDIT GIVEN FOR PRIOR RENTAL
PAYMENTS?

(B) COLUMN 12. BELIEVE DOLS. 861,383 IS FOR BUILDING
PURCHASE, NOT EXTENSION. CORRECT?

(C) LINE 2 - WHY IS TOTAL COST, COLUMN 4, DOLS. 2,448,750,
LESS THAN AMOUNT ASSESSED TO MEMBERS, COLUMN 5, DOLS.
2,451,816?

(D) THE TOTAL OF COLUMN 4, TOTAL COST, SHOULD BE THE SAME
AS THE TOTAL OF COLUMN 12, METHOD OF FINANCING. PLEASE
CLARIFY.

5. NEED ABOVE CLARIFICATIONS, AND DATA ON WHO, BY
FEBRUARY 26, FOR CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS. KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 21 FEB 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE039942
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: CPJUNK:MFW
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750063-0175
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750222/aaaaatnk.tel
Line Count: 133
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN IO
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 GENEVA 00874
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ElyME
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 SEP 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 SEP 2003 by ellisoob>; APPROVED <31 DEC 2003 by ElyME>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: BUILDING COSTS: UN AND SELECTED SPECIALIZED AGENCIES
TAGS: AORG, ABLD, AFIN, UN, ITU, WMO, ILO
To: GENEVA
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006